

**Latest Tracking Poll Results** 

November 30, 2021

Community Democracy Project -Community Health Module Latest Results November 30, 2021

## **Contact Information - Community Health Module**

3

	HKPOP Panel				
Date of survey	November 8, 3pm – 1	November 29, 3pm			
Survey method	Online s	urvey			
Target population	Hong Kong resid	lents aged 12+			
	Representative Panel Volunteer Panel				
Total sample size	1,022	7,984			
Response rate	11.9%	9.9%			
Sampling error	Sampling error of percentages at +/-3% at 95% confidence levelSampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level				
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.				

- Latest survey period: 8-29/11/2021 (Representative Panel N=1,018 Volunteer Panel N=7,964)
- Last survey period: 25/10-8/11/2021 (Representative Panel N=838 Volunteer Panel N=6,876)
- Second last survey period: 11-25/10/2021 (Representative Panel N=732 Volunteer Panel N=5,807)

<b>Opinion Question^</b>		<b>Representative</b>	Panel (N=1,018)	Volunteer Panel (N=7,964)		
		Don't know / hard to say Average		Don't know / hard to say Average		
Q1 How likely do you	Latest	19%	8%	17%	5% <b>\</b> *	
think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month? [Logarithmic Scale]	Last	20%	10%	17%	6%	
	Second Last	18%	11%	13%	7%	

^ Answer options included: 0-10 rating scale, others and don't know / hard to say. Answer options changed from linear scale to logarithmic scale since Oct 2020. \* Significant change

#### Representative Panel - Average Infected case(s) (Ytd) 200 35% 160% 175 140% 30% 150 120% 25% Infected case(s) (Ytd) 125 100% 20% Average 100 80% 15% 75 60% 10% 50 5% 25 0 0% 0% 3/2020 9/2020 11/2020 1/2021 3/2021 1/2020 5/2020 7/2020 5/2021 7/2021 9/2021 11/2021

#### Assessment of the public's expected chance of COVID-19 infection

^ Answer options included: 0-10 rating scale, others and don't know / hard to say. Answer options changed from linear scale to logarithmic scale since Oct 2020. \* Significant change

**Month of Release** 

6


- Latest survey period: 8-29/11/2021 (Representative Panel N=1,021 Volunteer Panel N=7,974)
- Last survey period: 25/10-8/11/2021 (Representative Panel N=836 Volunteer Panel N=6,873)
- Second last survey period: 11-25/10/2021 (Representative Panel N=733 Volunteer Panel N=5,810)


<b>Opinion Question^</b>		Representative Panel (N=1,021)				Volunteer Panel (N=7,974)			
		Satisfied	Half-half	Dissatisfied	Mean <sup>†</sup>	Satisfied	Half-half	Dissatisfied	Mean <sup>†</sup>
Q2 How satisfied or dissatisfied are	Latest	34%	20%	45% <b>\*</b>	2.6	33%	13% 🔺*	53%	2.5
you with the government's performance in	Last	31%	19%	50%	2.5	34%	11%	55%	2.5
handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?	Second Last	36%	21%	42%	2.7	35%	9%	55%	2.5

^ Answer options included: very much satisfied, somewhat satisfied, half-half, somewhat dissatisfied, very much dissatisfied and don't know / hard to say
 † The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

\* Significant change

Appraisal of HK Government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia





## 限聚指數 Group Gathering Prohibition Index 30/11/2021

#### 樣本資料 - 限聚指數基準調查 Contact Information - Group Gathering Prohibition Index Benchmark Survey

	香港民研意見群組成員 HKPOP Panel
調查日期 Survey date	23/11 15:00 – 29/11 15:00
調查方法 Survey method	以電郵接觸群組成員,並於網上完成調查 Online survey
訪問對象 Target population	十二歲或以上的香港市民 Hong Kong residents aged 12+
總成功樣本 Total sample size	5,888
回應比率 Response rate	6.6%
抽樣誤差 Sampling error	95%置信水平,百分比誤差+/-1% Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
加權方法 Weighting method	按照1) 政府統計處提供的全港人口年齡及性別分佈統計數字、各區議會人口數字; 2) 選舉事務處提供的區議會選舉結果;3) 常規調查中的特首評分分佈數字,以 「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。 The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.

#### 限聚指數 Group Gathering Prohibition Index

10

- <u>最新</u>調查日期 <u>Latest</u> survey date: 23-29/11/2021 (N=5,888)
- <u>上次</u>調查日期 <u>Last</u> survey date: 18-25/10/2021 (N=5,974)
- <u>上上次</u>調查日期 <u>Second last</u> survey date: 13-20/9/2021 (N=6,210)

意見題目	<b>Opinion Questions</b>
你認為香港應否無條件全面撤銷「限聚令」? • 應該無條件撤銷「限聚令」 • 不應該,應視乎疫情而定 • 不知道/很難說	<ul> <li>Do you think the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places should be completely lifted unconditionally in Hong Kong?</li> <li>Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally</li> <li>No, it should depend on the epidemic situation</li> <li>Don't know / hard to say</li> </ul>
[追問沒有選擇應該"無條件撤銷「限聚令」"者] 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少,才適合將「限聚令」訂於4人? 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少,才適合將「限聚令」訂於8人? 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少,才適合將「限聚令」訂於16人? 你認為感染個案清零多少天後,限聚令應該全面撤銷? 請於以下欄位列舉你認為合適的[個案數及限聚人數]組合	<ul> <li>[For respondents NOT answering "Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally"]</li> <li>How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 2 people?</li> <li>How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 4 people?</li> <li>How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 8 people?</li> <li>How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 8 people?</li> <li>How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 16 people?</li> <li>After how many days of zero infection do you think the group gathering ban should be lifted altogether?</li> <li>Please list combinations of [number of cases &amp; number of people allowed in gatherings] that you think is appropriate in the field below:</li> </ul>

#### 調查結果 - 限聚接受程度 Survey Result – Group Gathering Prohibition Acceptance Level

限聚接受程度 Group Gathering Prohibition Acceptance Level г 120 100% 20 13% 90% 100 80% case(s) (Ytd) 70% 現行限聚令不太緊 限聚指數 Group Gathering Prohibitio 80 Current GGP not too strict 百分比 Percentage 60% 現行限聚令太緊 確診個案(昨日) Infected Current GGP too strict 不應設限 60 50% No restriction at all 87% ●確診個案(昨日) 40% Infected case(s) (Ytd) 限聚指數 40 Group Gathering Prohibition Index 30% 5 20% 20 10% L 0 0% 21/11/47 111 2312 2512 612 Con 213 (2013 CA) 3613 CA) A (A) A (A) (A) 指數日期(括弧數字為當天限聚令人數)


Date of PEGRI (figures in bracket = size of group gathering allowed that day)

#### 限聚指數 - 分析評論

**Group Gathering Prohibition Index – Commentary** 

民生大聯盟發言人章彤輝博士指出,「近期市民主要關注通關和打針,而這兩項政策又對旅遊和飲食業尤為關鍵。可是,公眾對各種資訊都掌握得不多。例如,在「如何杜絕或防止高危人士來港」、「注射疫苗的目標是否要達至 99%」等問題上,政府應集中及定期發放資訊,向市民溝通更多,才能令公眾對各種資訊都掌握得更為清楚。」

Dr Tung-fai Cheung, Spokesman of Alliance of Revitalizing Economy and Livelihood, observed, "Recently, the public has been mainly concerned about border crossing and vaccination, which are particularly crucial to the tourism and catering industries. However, not much information is available to the public. For example, on issues such as 'how to stop or prevent high-risk individuals from coming to Hong Kong' and 'whether the target of vaccination should be 99%', the Government should release information centrally and regularly, and communicate more to the public so that the public can have a clearer understanding of all kinds of information."



**Latest Tracking Poll Results** 

November 30, 2021

## **Contact Information**

(14)

	<b>Disciplinary Forces Naming</b>	Appraisal of Disciplinary Forces and others					
Date of survey	29/10-3/11/2021	15-18/11/2021					
Survey method	Random telephone survey co	onducted by real interviewers					
Target population	Cantonese-speaking Hong Ko	ng residents aged 18 or above					
Sample size	1,004 (including 503 landline and 501 mobile samples)	1,004 (including 504 landline and 500 mobile samples)					
Effective response rate	50.1%	53.7%					
Sampling error	Sampling error of percentages not more than +/-4%, that of net values not more than +/- 8% and that of ratings not more than +/-2.9 at 95% conf. level						
Weighting method	<ul> <li>Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics Department.</li> <li>The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from "Mid-year population for 2020", while the educational attainment (highest level attended)</li> <li>distribution and economic activity status distribution came from "Women and Men in Hong Kong - Key Statistics (2020 Edition)".</li> </ul>						

## **Survey Topic**

15


• People's Appraisal of Policy Areas of the Government


- Handling relation with the Central Government
- Protecting human rights and freedom
- Maintaining economic prosperity
- Improving people's livelihood
- Pace of democratic development
- Popularity of Hong Kong Disciplinary Forces and the PLA Hong Kong Garrison
  - Fire Services Department
  - Customs and Excise Department
  - Police Force
  - o PLA Hong Kong Garrison

## **Survey Result - People's Appraisal of Policy Areas of the Government**

Net satisfaction rate	19-22/4/2021	15-18/11/2021	Change	Record
Handling relation with the Central Government	-13%	1%	<b>14%</b> *	Record high since Dec. 2018
Protecting human rights and freedom	-25%	-9%	<b>17%</b> *	Record high since Dec. 2017
Maintaining economic prosperity	-37%	-16%	<b>22%</b> *	Record high since Dec. 2018
Improving people's livelihood	-46%	-28%	<b>18%</b> *	Record high since Dec. 2018
Pace of democratic development	-38%	-30%	<b>\$9%</b>	Record high since Dec. 2018

Among the five specific policy areas of the HKSAR Government, the latest net satisfaction rates of four are negative. Only that in **handling its relation with the Central Government** stands at positive 1 percentage point, while the net satisfaction rates of the government's performance in **protecting human rights and freedom**, **maintaining economic prosperity**, **improving people's livelihood** and its **pace of democratic development** stand at negative 9, negative 16, negative 28 and negative 30 percentage points respectively. Apart from its **pace of democratic development**, the net satisfaction rates of the remaining four policy areas have registered significant and dramatic increases compared to seven months ago. The net satisfaction rate of the performance in **protecting human rights and freedom** has registered a new high since December 2017, while that of the other four have registered new highs since December 2018.





## **Survey Topic**

- People's Appraisal of Policy Areas of the Government
  - Handling relation with the Central Government
  - Protecting human rights and freedom
  - Maintaining economic prosperity
  - o Improving people's livelihood
  - Pace of democratic development

# Popularity of Hong Kong Disciplinary Forces and the PLA Hong Kong Garrison

- Fire Services Department
- Customs and Excise Department
- Police Force
- PLA Hong Kong Garrison

## Survey Result - Popularity of the disciplinary forces and the PLA HK Garrison




<b>Disciplinary Forces Naming</b>	29/10-3/11/2021
Police Force	67%
Fire Services Department	55%
Customs and Excise Department	47%
Immigration Department	24%
<b>Correctional Services Department</b>	15%
Independent Commission Against Corruption	3%
Civil Aid Service	2%
Auxiliary Medical Service	2%
Government Flying Service	2%
Don't know / hard to say	20%

• In the naming survey, respondents could name, unprompted, up to three disciplinary forces whom they knew best. The **Police Force**, the **Fire Services Department** and the **Customs and Excise Department** were the top three mentioned most frequently, they therefore entered the rating survey.

## Survey Result - Popularity of the disciplinary forces and the PLA HK Garrison

Satisfaction ratings	17-21/5/2021	15-18/11/2021	Change	Record
Fire Services Department	79.5	79.7	▲0.2	Record high since Nov. 2020
Customs and Excise Department	59.7	62.7	▲3.0	Record high since Nov. 2020
Police Force	44.2	50.1	<b>▲6.0</b> *	Record high since Jun. 2019
PLA Hong Kong Garrison	51.4	60.3	<b>8.9</b> *	Record high since May 2018

• Survey shows that people's satisfaction ratings with the **Fire Services Department**, the **Customs and Excise Department** and the **Police Force** stand at 79.7, 62.7 and 50.1 marks respectively. That of the **Police Force** has increased significantly compared to six months ago, registering a new high since early June 2019. Meanwhile, people's latest satisfaction rating with the **PLA Hong Kong Garrison** stands at 60.3 marks, which has also increased significantly compared to six months ago and at the same time registered a new high since May 2018.



### Survey Result - Popularity of the disciplinary forces and the PLA HK Garrison

PLA Hong Kong Garrison	17-21/5/2021	15-18/11/2021	Change	Record
Rating	51.4	60.3	<b>8.9</b> *	Record high since May 2018
Satisfaction rate	37%	43%	<b>17%</b> *	Record high since Jun. 2019
Dissatisfaction rate	24%	13%	<b>V11%</b> *	Record low since May 2018
Net satisfaction rate	12%	30%	<b>18%</b> *	Record high since Jun. 2019
Mean value	3.2	3.7	<b>▲0.5</b> *	Record high since Jul. 2013

• People's latest satisfaction rate with the **PLA Hong Kong Garrison** is 43%, dissatisfaction rate 13%, giving a net satisfaction rate of positive 30 percentage points, which is a new high since early June 2019. The mean score is 3.7, meaning between "quite satisfied" and "half-half" in general.

