

## 2024 年 9 月 17 日 新聞公報

### 香港民研發放局長民望

#### 特別宣佈

香港民意研究所（香港民研）的五周年檢討已經接近尾聲，隨着新的施政年度在下月開展，香港民研將會更新網頁設計，方便開展公民教育工作和優化會員制度。會員將可優先閱讀民研的新聞公報、研究資訊和專家評論，以及獲取會員專訊和與研究團隊互動交流，集思廣益。有關詳情將會陸續公布。

#### 公報簡要

香港民研於九月初由真實訪問員以隨機電話抽樣成功訪問了 673 名香港居民，同時繼續測試電話短訊調查方法。本報告集中分析電話訪問部分所得的數據（即不包括電話短訊網上調查樣本），雖然樣本總數有所減少，但與以往的調查及分析方法一致，因此結果可以直接比較。

電話訪問部份顯示，十五名局長之中，醫務衛生局局長盧寵茂的支持率淨值最高，最低者則為教育局局長蔡若蓮。對比半年前，楊潤雄及鄧炳強的支持率淨值錄得顯著上升。另外，共有八位局長的支持率淨值創上任以來新高，包括盧寵茂、何永賢、楊何蓓茵、甯漢豪、鄧炳強、孫東、楊潤雄及蔡若蓮。

電話訪問部分的實效回應比率為 45.8%。在 95% 置信水平下，此部分調查的百分比誤差不超過 +/-6%，淨值誤差不超過 +/-10%。

#### 樣本資料

調查日期	: 2-4/9/2024 (電話訪問部分)
調查方法	: (1) 隨機抽樣固網電話訪問 (2) 隨機抽樣手機電話訪問 (3) 隨機抽樣電話短訊邀請參與網上調查
訪問對象	: 18 歲或以上操粵語的香港居民
成功樣本數目 <sup>[1]</sup>	: 673 (電話訪問部分；包括 338 個固網樣本、335 個手機樣本)
實效回應比率	: 45.8% (電話訪問部分)
抽樣誤差 <sup>[2]</sup>	: 在 95% 置信水平下，百分比誤差不超過 +/-6%，淨值誤差不超過 +/-10% (電話訪問部分)

加權方法：按照政府統計處提供的統計數字以「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。全港人口年齡及性別分佈統計數字來自《按性別及年齡劃分的年中人口》(2023年中)，而教育程度(最高就讀程度)及經濟活動身分統計數字則來自《香港的女性及男性 - 主要統計數字》(2023年版)。

- [1] 數字為調查的總樣本數目，個別題目則可能只涉及次樣本。有關數字請參閱下列數表內列出的樣本數目。  
 [2] 此公報中所有誤差數字均以 95% 置信水平計算。95% 置信水平，是指倘若以不同隨機樣本重複進行有關調查 100 次，則 95 次各自計算出的誤差範圍會包含人口真實數字。由於調查數字涉及抽樣誤差，傳媒引用百分比數字時，應避免使用小數點，在引用評分數字時，則可以使用一個小數點。

## 最新數據

以下是各局長的最新民望數字，按支持率淨值排列<sup>[3]</sup>：

調查日期	6-20/3/23	7-19/9/23 <sup>[4]</sup>	4-7/3/24 <sup>[5]</sup>	2-4/9/24 <sup>[5]</sup>	最新變化
樣本數目	518-526	506-517	338-343	340-347	--
回應比率	42.8%	53.0%	43.3%	45.8%	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	--
盧寵茂出任醫務衛生局局長支持率	41%	54% <sup>[6]</sup>	54%	58+/-5%	+4%
盧寵茂出任醫務衛生局局長反對率	32% <sup>[6]</sup>	35%	33%	25+/-5%	-8% <sup>[6]</sup>
支持率淨值	9%	19%	21%	34+/-9%	+13%
何永賢出任房屋局局長支持率	30%	50% <sup>[6]</sup>	49%	55+/-5%	+6%
何永賢出任房屋局局長反對率	21% <sup>[6]</sup>	26%	25%	25+/-5%	--
支持率淨值	9%	24% <sup>[6]</sup>	24%	31+/-9%	+7%
林世雄出任運輸及物流局局長支持率	28%	45% <sup>[6]</sup>	53% <sup>[6]</sup>	47+/-6%	-6%
林世雄出任運輸及物流局局長反對率	13% <sup>[6]</sup>	22% <sup>[6]</sup>	17% <sup>[6]</sup>	17+/-4%	--
支持率淨值	15%	22%	36% <sup>[6]</sup>	30+/-8%	-6%
楊何蓓茵出任公務員事務局局長支持率	29%	45% <sup>[6]</sup>	44%	47+/-5%	+3%
楊何蓓茵出任公務員事務局局長反對率	12%	24% <sup>[6]</sup>	21%	21+/-4%	--
支持率淨值	17%	20%	23%	26+/-9%	+3%
甯漢豪出任發展局局長支持率	23%	46% <sup>[6]</sup>	42%	48+/-5%	+7%
甯漢豪出任發展局局長反對率	16% <sup>[6]</sup>	28% <sup>[6]</sup>	27%	24+/-5%	-4%
支持率淨值	8%	18% <sup>[6]</sup>	15%	25+/-9%	+10%
鄧炳強出任保安局局長支持率	42% <sup>[6]</sup>	55% <sup>[6]</sup>	47% <sup>[6]</sup>	58+/-5%	+10% <sup>[6]</sup>
鄧炳強出任保安局局長反對率	35% <sup>[6]</sup>	38%	38%	33+/-5%	-5%
支持率淨值	7% <sup>[6]</sup>	17%	9%	25+/-10%	+15% <sup>[6]</sup>
孫東出任創新科技及工業局局長支持率	26%	44% <sup>[6]</sup>	43%	48+/-6%	+5%
孫東出任創新科技及工業局局長反對率	21% <sup>[6]</sup>	28% <sup>[6]</sup>	24%	26+/-5%	+2%
支持率淨值	5%	16% <sup>[6]</sup>	20%	22+/-9%	+3%
孫玉菡出任勞工及福利局局長支持率	29%	51% <sup>[6]</sup>	44%	49+/-5%	+5%
孫玉菡出任勞工及福利局局長反對率	17% <sup>[6]</sup>	25% <sup>[6]</sup>	31%	29+/-5%	-1%
支持率淨值	12%	26% <sup>[6]</sup>	14% <sup>[6]</sup>	19+/-9%	+6%

調查日期	6-20/3/23	7-19/9/23 <sup>[4]</sup>	4-7/3/24 <sup>[5]</sup>	2-4/9/24 <sup>[5]</sup>	最新變化
樣本數目	518-526	506-517	338-343	340-347	--
回應比率	42.8%	53.0%	43.3%	45.8%	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	--
曾國衛出任政制及內地事務局局長支持率	31% <sup>[6]</sup>	44% <sup>[6]</sup>	44%	46+/-6%	+2%
曾國衛出任政制及內地事務局局長反對率	25% <sup>[6]</sup>	30%	27%	27+/-5%	-1%
支持率淨值	7% <sup>[6]</sup>	14%	16%	19+/-9%	+3%
楊潤雄出任文化體育及旅遊局局長支持率	37%	43%	34% <sup>[6]</sup>	50+/-5%	+16% <sup>[6]</sup>
楊潤雄出任文化體育及旅遊局局長反對率	27%	40% <sup>[6]</sup>	51% <sup>[6]</sup>	33+/-5%	-19% <sup>[6]</sup>
支持率淨值	10%	2%	-18% <sup>[6]</sup>	17+/-9%	+35% <sup>[6]</sup>
許正宇出任財經事務及庫務局局長支持率	27%	45% <sup>[6]</sup>	37% <sup>[6]</sup>	39+/-5%	+1%
許正宇出任財經事務及庫務局局長反對率	12%	27% <sup>[6]</sup>	26%	24+/-5%	-2%
支持率淨值	15%	18%	11%	15+/-8%	+4%
丘應樺出任商務及經濟發展局局長支持率	30%	44% <sup>[6]</sup>	41%	42+/-5%	+1%
丘應樺出任商務及經濟發展局局長反對率	16% <sup>[6]</sup>	28% <sup>[6]</sup>	31%	31+/-5%	--
支持率淨值	15%	17%	10%	11+/-9%	+2%
麥美娟出任民政及青年事務局局長支持率	40%	48% <sup>[6]</sup>	43%	45+/-5%	+3%
麥美娟出任民政及青年事務局局長反對率	29%	39% <sup>[6]</sup>	41%	39+/-5%	-3%
支持率淨值	10%	9%	1%	7+/-10%	+5%
謝展寰出任環境及生態局局長支持率	24%	46% <sup>[6]</sup>	37% <sup>[6]</sup>	37+/-5%	--
謝展寰出任環境及生態局局長反對率	14% <sup>[6]</sup>	26% <sup>[6]</sup>	35% <sup>[6]</sup>	35+/-5%	--
支持率淨值	10%	20% <sup>[6]</sup>	2% <sup>[6]</sup>	2+/-9%	--
蔡若蓮出任教育局局長支持率	31%	38% <sup>[6]</sup>	36%	42+/-5%	+7%
蔡若蓮出任教育局局長反對率	33%	40% <sup>[6]</sup>	43%	41+/-5%	-2%
支持率淨值	-2%	-2%	-8%	1+/-10%	+9%

[3] 如四捨五入後的數字相同，則會再考慮小數點後的數字。

[4] 2023年9月開始，司局長假設投票問題的字眼已經由「假設明天你有權投票決定續任或者罷免XXX作為XXX，你會投續任、罷免、定棄權票？」更新為「假設你而家有權決定續任或者罷免XXX作為XXX，你會點樣決定？」答案選項則除了「續任」、「罷免」、「棄權」和「拒答」外，亦新增了「唔知／難講」。

[5] 各項數字只計算電話訪問部分，不包括電話短訊網上調查樣本。

[6] 根據兩次調查的數字合併計算，有關差異在95%置信水平下表面成立。不過，變化在統計學上成立與否，並不同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義，而不同加權方法亦可能會得出不同結果。

調查顯示，所有局長的最新民望淨值皆為正數。按民望淨值排名，由高至低分別是醫務衛生局局長盧寵茂、房屋局局長何永賢、運輸及物流局局長林世雄、公務員事務局局長楊何蓓茵、發展局局長甯漢豪、保安局局長鄧炳強、創新科技及工業局局長孫東、勞工及福利局局長孫玉菡、政制及內地事務局局長曾國衛、文化體育及旅遊局局長楊潤雄、財經事務及庫務局局長許正宇、商務及經濟發展局局長丘應樺、民政及青年事務局局長麥美娟、環境及生態局局長謝展寰和教育局局長蔡若蓮。對比半年前，楊潤雄及鄧炳強的支持率淨值錄得顯著上升。另外，共有八位局長的支持率淨值創上任以來新高，包括盧寵茂、何永賢、楊何蓓茵、甯漢豪、鄧炳強、孫東、楊潤雄及蔡若蓮。

根據香港民研的標準，暫時沒有官員屬於「表現理想」。盧寵茂、鄧炳強、何永賢及李家超屬「表現成功」，其餘官員則屬於「表現一般」，沒有官員屬於「表現失敗」、「表現不彰」或「表現拙劣」。

以下是特首<sup>[7]</sup>及各局長民望級別總表：

「表現理想」：支持率超過 66%者，以支持率排名 <sup>[8]</sup> ，即括弧內數字
沒有官員
「表現成功」：支持率超過 50%者，以支持率排名 <sup>[8]</sup> ，即括弧內數字
醫務衛生局局長盧寵茂（58%） 保安局局長鄧炳強（58%） 房屋局局長何永賢（55%） 特首李家超（51%）
「表現一般」：非其他五類者，以支持率排名 <sup>[8]</sup> ，即括弧內數字
文化體育及旅遊局局長楊潤雄（50%） 勞工及福利局局長孫玉菡（49%） 發展局局長甯漢豪（48%） 創新科技及工業局局長孫東（48%） 公務員事務局局長楊何蓓茵（47%） 運輸及物流局局長林世雄（47%） 政制及內地事務局局長曾國衛（46%） 民政及青年事務局局長麥美娟（45%） 商務及經濟發展局局長丘應樺（42%） 教育局局長蔡若蓮（42%） 財經事務及庫務局局長許正宇（39%） 環境及生態局局長謝展寰（37%）
「表現不彰」：認知率不足 50%者，以支持率排名 <sup>[8]</sup> ，括弧內第一數字為支持率，第二數字為認知率
沒有官員
「表現失敗」：反對率超過 50%者，以反對率排名 <sup>[8]</sup> ，即括弧內數字
沒有官員
「表現拙劣」：反對率超過 66%者，以反對率排名 <sup>[8]</sup> ，即括弧內數字
沒有官員

[7] 特首李家超的最新民望數字已經於 9 月 10 日發放，最新評分為 50.7 分，支持率為 51%，反對率為 35%，民望淨值為正 16 個百分點。

[8] 如四捨五入後的數字相同，則會再考慮小數點後的數字。

## 2024 年 9 月新聞發佈活動預告（暫定）

- 9 月 24 日（星期二）新聞公報和數據更新：信任及信心指標
- 9 月 30 日（星期一）下午三時新聞發佈會：社會及自由指標



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
香港民意研究所

Tel 電話: (852) 3844 3111

Fax 傳真: (852) 3705 3361

Website 網址: <https://www.pori.hk>

Address: Units 9-11, 6/F, Tower B, Southmark, 11 Yip Hing Street, Wong Chuk Hang

地址: 黃竹坑業興街 11 號南滙廣場 B 座 6 樓 9-11 室

## Press Release on September 17, 2024

### HKPORI releases popularity of Directors of Bureaux

#### Special Announcement

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI) will soon complete its 5th anniversary review. With the start of the new policy year next month, it will update its website to facilitate civic education and membership upgrade. Members will be given priority access to HKPORI's press releases, research materials and experts' commentaries. They will also receive exclusive information and can interact directly with the team. More details will be announced.

#### Abstract

HKPORI successfully interviewed 673 Hong Kong residents in early September, by means of a random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers, while the testing of online survey conducted via random SMS invitation is still in progress. This release focuses on the telephone survey sample only (i.e., excluding the SMS online sample). Although the sample size has been reduced, the research and analysis method are consistent with previous surveys and thus the results are suitable for direct comparison.

Results from the telephone survey show that among 15 Directors of Bureaux, based on their net approval rates, Secretary for Health Lo Chung-mau ranks first, while Secretary for Education Christine Choi ranks last. Compared to half a year ago, the net approval rates of Kevin Yeung and Chris Tang have significantly increased. Besides, the net approval rates of eight Directors of Bureaux registered their record high since they took office, including Lo Chung-mau, Winnie Ho, Ingrid Yeung, Bernadette Linn, Chris Tang, Sun Dong, Kevin Yeung and Christine Choi.

The effective response rate of the telephone survey is 45.8%. The maximum sampling error of percentages based on this part is +/-6%, and that of net values is +/-10% at 95% confidence level.

#### Contact Information

Date of survey	: 2-4/9/2024 (for telephone survey)
Survey method	: (1) Random landline telephone survey (2) Random mobile telephone survey (3) Online survey by random SMS invitation
Target population	: Cantonese-speaking Hong Kong residents aged 18 or above
Sample size <sup>[1]</sup>	: 673 (for telephone survey, including 338 landline, 335 mobile)
Effective response rate	: 45.8% (for telephone survey)
Sampling error <sup>[2]</sup>	: Sampling error of percentages not more than +/-6%, and that of net values not more than +/-10% at 95% conf. level (for telephone survey)

Weighting method : Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics Department. The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from “Mid-year population by Sex and Age group” (2023 mid-year), while the educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution came from “Women and Men in Hong Kong - Key Statistics” (2023 Edition).

- [1] This figure is the total sample size of the survey. Some questions may only involve a subsample, the size of which can be found in the tables below.
- [2] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

## Latest Figures

Latest popularity figures of Directors of Bureaux sorted by net approval rates<sup>[3]</sup> are summarized below:

Date of survey	<u>6-20/3/23</u>	<u>7-19/9/23</u> <sup>[4]</sup>	<u>4-7/3/24</u> <sup>[5]</sup>	<u>2-4/9/24</u> <sup>[5]</sup>	<u>Latest change</u>
Sample size	518-526	506-517	338-343	<b>340-347</b>	--
Response rate	42.8%	53.0%	43.3%	<b>45.8%</b>	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	<b>Finding &amp; error</b>	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Health Lo Chung-mau	41%	54% <sup>[6]</sup>	54%	<b>58+/-5%</b>	<b>+4%</b>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Health Lo Chung-mau	32% <sup>[6]</sup>	35%	33%	<b>25+/-5%</b>	<b>-8%<sup>[6]</sup></b>
Net approval rate	9%	19%	21%	<b>34+/-9%</b>	<b>+13%</b>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Housing Winnie Ho	30%	50% <sup>[6]</sup>	49%	<b>55+/-5%</b>	<b>+6%</b>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Housing Winnie Ho	21% <sup>[6]</sup>	26%	25%	<b>25+/-5%</b>	--
Net approval rate	9%	24% <sup>[6]</sup>	24%	<b>31+/-9%</b>	<b>+7%</b>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Transport and Logistics Lam Sai-hung	28%	45% <sup>[6]</sup>	53% <sup>[6]</sup>	<b>47+/-6%</b>	<b>-6%</b>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Transport and Logistics Lam Sai-hung	13% <sup>[6]</sup>	22% <sup>[6]</sup>	17% <sup>[6]</sup>	<b>17+/-4%</b>	--
Net approval rate	15%	22%	36% <sup>[6]</sup>	<b>30+/-8%</b>	<b>-6%</b>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for the Civil Service Ingrid Yeung	29%	45% <sup>[6]</sup>	44%	<b>47+/-5%</b>	<b>+3%</b>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for the Civil Service Ingrid Yeung	12%	24% <sup>[6]</sup>	21%	<b>21+/-4%</b>	--
Net approval rate	17%	20%	23%	<b>26+/-9%</b>	<b>+3%</b>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Development Bernadette Linn	23%	46% <sup>[6]</sup>	42%	<b>48+/-5%</b>	<b>+7%</b>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Development Bernadette Linn	16% <sup>[6]</sup>	28% <sup>[6]</sup>	27%	<b>24+/-5%</b>	<b>-4%</b>
Net approval rate	8%	18% <sup>[6]</sup>	15%	<b>25+/-9%</b>	<b>+10%</b>

Date of survey	<u>6-20/3/23</u>	<u>7-19/9/23<sup>[4]</sup></u>	<u>4-7/3/24<sup>[5]</sup></u>	<u>2-4/9/24<sup>[5]</sup></u>	<u><i>Latest change</i></u>
Sample size	518-526	506-517	338-343	<b>340-347</b>	--
Response rate	42.8%	53.0%	43.3%	<b>45.8%</b>	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	<b><i>Finding &amp; error</i></b>	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Security Chris Tang	42% <sup>[6]</sup>	55% <sup>[6]</sup>	47% <sup>[6]</sup>	<b>58+/-5%</b>	<b>+10%<sup>[6]</sup></b>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Security Chris Tang	35% <sup>[6]</sup>	38%	38%	<b>33+/-5%</b>	<b>-5%</b>
Net approval rate	7% <sup>[6]</sup>	17%	9%	<b>25+/-10%</b>	<b>+15%<sup>[6]</sup></b>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Innovation, Technology and Industry Sun Dong	26%	44% <sup>[6]</sup>	43%	<b>48+/-6%</b>	<b>+5%</b>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Innovation, Technology and Industry Sun Dong	21% <sup>[6]</sup>	28% <sup>[6]</sup>	24%	<b>26+/-5%</b>	<b>+2%</b>
Net approval rate	5%	16% <sup>[6]</sup>	20%	<b>22+/-9%</b>	<b>+3%</b>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Labour and Welfare Chris Sun	29%	51% <sup>[6]</sup>	44%	<b>49+/-5%</b>	<b>+5%</b>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Labour and Welfare Chris Sun	17% <sup>[6]</sup>	25% <sup>[6]</sup>	31%	<b>29+/-5%</b>	<b>-1%</b>
Net approval rate	12%	26% <sup>[6]</sup>	14% <sup>[6]</sup>	<b>19+/-9%</b>	<b>+6%</b>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang	31% <sup>[6]</sup>	44% <sup>[6]</sup>	44%	<b>46+/-6%</b>	<b>+2%</b>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang	25% <sup>[6]</sup>	30%	27%	<b>27+/-5%</b>	<b>-1%</b>
Net approval rate	7% <sup>[6]</sup>	14%	16%	<b>19+/-9%</b>	<b>+3%</b>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Culture, Sports and Tourism Kevin Yeung	37%	43%	34% <sup>[6]</sup>	<b>50+/-5%</b>	<b>+16%<sup>[6]</sup></b>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Culture, Sports and Tourism Kevin Yeung	27%	40% <sup>[6]</sup>	51% <sup>[6]</sup>	<b>33+/-5%</b>	<b>-19%<sup>[6]</sup></b>
Net approval rate	10%	2%	-18% <sup>[6]</sup>	<b>17+/-9%</b>	<b>+35%<sup>[6]</sup></b>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui	27%	45% <sup>[6]</sup>	37% <sup>[6]</sup>	<b>39+/-5%</b>	<b>+1%</b>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui	12%	27% <sup>[6]</sup>	26%	<b>24+/-5%</b>	<b>-2%</b>
Net approval rate	15%	18%	11%	<b>15+/-8%</b>	<b>+4%</b>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Algernon Yau	30%	44% <sup>[6]</sup>	41%	<b>42+/-5%</b>	<b>+1%</b>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Algernon Yau	16% <sup>[6]</sup>	28% <sup>[6]</sup>	31%	<b>31+/-5%</b>	--
Net approval rate	15%	17%	10%	<b>11+/-9%</b>	<b>+2%</b>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Home and Youth Affairs Alice Mak	40%	48% <sup>[6]</sup>	43%	<b>45+/-5%</b>	<b>+3%</b>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Home and Youth Affairs Alice Mak	29%	39% <sup>[6]</sup>	41%	<b>39+/-5%</b>	<b>-3%</b>
Net approval rate	10%	9%	1%	<b>7+/-10%</b>	<b>+5%</b>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Environment and Ecology Tse Chin-wan	24%	46% <sup>[6]</sup>	37% <sup>[6]</sup>	<b>37+/-5%</b>	--
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Environment and Ecology Tse Chin-wan	14% <sup>[6]</sup>	26% <sup>[6]</sup>	35% <sup>[6]</sup>	<b>35+/-5%</b>	--
Net approval rate	10%	20% <sup>[6]</sup>	2% <sup>[6]</sup>	<b>2+/-9%</b>	--

Date of survey	6-20/3/23	7-19/9/23 <sup>[4]</sup>	4-7/3/24 <sup>[5]</sup>	2-4/9/24 <sup>[5]</sup>	<i>Latest change</i>
Sample size	518-526	506-517	338-343	<b>340-347</b>	--
Response rate	42.8%	53.0%	43.3%	<b>45.8%</b>	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	<b>Finding &amp; error</b>	--
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Education Christine Choi	31%	38% <sup>[6]</sup>	36%	<b>42+/-5%</b>	<b>+7%</b>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Education Christine Choi	33%	40% <sup>[6]</sup>	43%	<b>41+/-5%</b>	<b>-2%</b>
Net approval rate	-2%	-2%	-8%	<b>1+/-10%</b>	<b>+9%</b>

[3] If the rounded figures are the same, numbers after the decimal point will be considered.

[4] Starting from September 2023, the question on hypothetical voting on principal officials has been revised from “If you had the right to vote on the reappointment or dismissal of XXX as XXX tomorrow, how would you vote? You could also abstain from voting.” to “If you had the right to decide whether to reappoint or dismiss XXX as XXX now, how would you decide?” As for the answer options, apart from the existing “reappoint”, “dismiss”, “abstain” and “refuse to answer”, a “don’t know / hard to say” option has been added.

[5] Various figures are based only on samples from the telephone surveys but not those from the SMS online survey.

[6] Based on figures from two surveys, the change is statistically significant prima facie at 95% confidence level. However, statistically significant changes may not be useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods can produce different results.

The survey shows that the latest net approval rates of all Directors of Bureaux are positive. Ranked from high to low according to net approval rates, they are Secretary for Health Lo Chung-mau, Secretary for Housing Winnie Ho, Secretary for Transport and Logistics Lam Sai-hung, Secretary for the Civil Service Ingrid Yeung, Secretary for Development Bernadette Linn, Secretary for Security Chris Tang, Secretary for Innovation, Technology and Industry Sun Dong, Secretary for Labour and Welfare Chris Sun, Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang, Secretary for Culture, Sports and Tourism Kevin Yeung, Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui, Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Algernon Yau, Secretary for Home and Youth Affairs Alice Mak, Secretary for Environment and Ecology Tse Chin-wan and Secretary for Education Christine Choi. Compared to half a year ago, the net approval rates of Kevin Yeung and Chris Tang have significantly increased. In addition, the net approval rates of eight Directors of Bureaux registered their record high since they took office, including Lo Chung-mau, Winnie Ho, Ingrid Yeung, Bernadette Linn, Chris Tang, Sun Dong, Kevin Yeung and Christine Choi.

According to HKPORI’s standard, no one falls under the category of “ideal” performer for the time being. Lo Chung-mau, Chris Tang, Winnie Ho and John Lee fall under the category of “successful” performer. The remaining officials can be labelled as “mediocre”. No one falls into the category of “inconspicuous”, “depressing” or “disastrous” performer.

The following table summarizes the grading of CE<sup>[7]</sup> and principal officials:

<b>“Ideal”</b> : those with approval rates of over 66%; ranked by their approval rates shown inside brackets <sup>[8]</sup>
Nil
<b>“Successful”</b> : those with approval rates of over 50%; ranked by their approval rates shown inside brackets <sup>[8]</sup>
Secretary for Health Lo Chung-mau (58%) Secretary for Security Chris Tang (58%) Secretary for Housing Winnie Ho (55%) CE John Lee (51%)



<b>“Mediocre”:</b> those not belonging to other 5 types; ranked by their approval rates shown inside brackets <sup>[8]</sup>
Secretary for Culture, Sports and Tourism Kevin Yeung (50%) Secretary for Labour and Welfare Chris Sun (49%) Secretary for Development Bernadette Linn (48%) Secretary for Innovation, Technology and Industry Sun Dong (48%) Secretary for the Civil Service Ingrid Yeung (47%) Secretary for Transport and Logistics Lam Sai-hung (47%) Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang (46%) Secretary for Home and Youth Affairs Alice Mak (45%) Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Algernon Yau (42%) Secretary for Education Christine Choi (42%) Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui (39%) Secretary for Environment and Ecology Tse Chin-wan (37%)
<b>“Inconspicuous”:</b> those with recognition rates of less than 50%; ranked by their approval rates <sup>[8]</sup> ; the first figure inside bracket is approval rate while the second figure is recognition rate
Nil
<b>“Depressing”:</b> those with disapproval rates of over 50%; ranked by their disapproval rates shown inside brackets <sup>[8]</sup>
Nil
<b>“Disastrous”:</b> those with disapproval rates of over 66%; ranked by their disapproval rates shown inside brackets <sup>[8]</sup>
Nil

[7] The latest popularity figures of CE John Lee have already been released on September 10, his latest popularity rating stands at 50.7 marks, approval rate is 51%, disapproval rate 35%, giving a net popularity of positive 16 percentage points.

[8] If the rounded figures are the same, numbers after the decimal point will be considered.

### **Press Events Forecast for September 2024 (Tentative)**

- September 24 (Tuesday) press release and figures update: Trust and Confidence Indicators
- September 30 (Monday) at 15:00, press conference: Social and Freedom Indicators